Experimental Metrology And Techniques For Sub-millimeter Optical Observation Of Detonation Reaction Phenomena And Performance Evaluation Of Crystalline Explosives





#### Igor Plaksin<sup>+</sup>, <u>Luis Rodrigues</u>, Svyatoslav Plaksin, Ricardo Mendes, José Campos, José Baranda and José Góis

ADAI – Association for the Development of Industrial Aerodynamics
 DEM – Department of Mechanical Engineering - University of Coimbra
 LEDAP – Laboratory of Energetics and Detonics
 ONR – Office of Naval Research, Science & Technology – DON USA



XIII INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON EXPLOSIVE PRODUCTION OF NEW MATERIALS: Science, Technology, Business and Innovations

20-24 June 2016 - COIMBRA, Portugal



## Igor Evgenievich Plaksin 1954 – 2016



1. Experimental Technique Overview

- *Multi-Fiber Optical Probe* (MFOP)
  - Multi-Mode PMMA Fiber Optic Array
  - Spatial Resolution: 250μm
- High-Speed Electronic Streak Camera (ESC)
  - Model: Thomson TSN 506 N
  - Temporal Resolution: ~1ns
- +15 Test Setups / Configurations

- 1. Experimental Technique Overview
  - Products:
    - Resolution of Reaction Radiation Fields
      - Hotspots, Localizations and Irregularities
    - Resolution of Induced Peak Pressure Fields in Inert Media
    - Correlation Between Reaction Intensity and Induced Pressure
    - Detonation Front Topography
      - Curvature
      - Smoothness / Roughness
    - Resolution of Local Detonation Velocity (D) Perturbations
    - Detonation Extinction Critical Diameter
    - Shock-to-Detonation Transition (SDT), etc...

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction

2.1.1. Two-Plane Observation





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction

2.1.1. Two-Plane Observation



7

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction

2.1.2. Single-Plane Observation (w/ 3 Crystals)



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction

2.1.3. Panoramic Observation



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.1. Single Crystal Reaction

2.1.3. Panoramic Observation



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Streak Record (Photochronogram)





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Streak Record (Photochronogram)





I. Plaksin†, L. Rodrigues, S. Plaksin, R. Mendes, J. Campos, J. Baranda, J. Góis

13

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Long Charge Test (LCT) RDX 93.0 / 7.0 wt. HTPB





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Long Charge Test (LCT) RDX 93.0 / 7.0 wt. HTPB



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Long Charge Test (LCT) RDX 93.0 / 7.0 wt. HTPB

19



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests



ρ <sub>0</sub> (RS-PBX) (g/cm³)	1.572
D (mm/µs)	7.51
ρ <sub>0</sub> (Kapton) (g/cm³)	1.414

D = 7.51 mm/µs

Radiation Intensity [%]



Pressure in PBX [GPa]





I. Plaksin†, L. Rodrigues, S. Plaksin, R. Mendes, J. Campos, J. Baranda, J. Góis

20

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples 2.2. Long Charge Tests



D = 7.79 mm/µs	
$ ho_0$ (Kapton) (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.414
D (mm/µs)	7.79
ρ <sub>0</sub> (PBX-Ref) (g/cm³)	1.54

LCT\_2015.11.19\_PBX-Ref\_MFOP-Us



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Witness Plate (Copper Insert) Surface Analysis





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Witness Plate (Copper Insert) Surface Analysis



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Long Charge Tests

Witness Plate (Copper Insert) Surface Analysis



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.2. Multi-Sample Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.4. Wedge Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.4. Wedge Tests – Shock-To-Detonation Transition



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.5. Detonation Extinction Diameter Tests (Conical Failure Tests)



- 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples
  - 2.5. Detonation Extinction Diameter Tests (Conical Failure Tests)





2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.6. Mushroom Tests





31

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.6. Mushroom Tests





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.6. Mushroom Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.6. Mushroom Tests





## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.6. Mushroom Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples2.6. Mushroom Tests





# 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples2.6. Mushroom Tests



### 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.7. Shock Input Calibration Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.7. Shock Input Calibration Tests



## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.7. Shock Input Calibration Tests



**DEM** 

I. Plaksin†, L. Rodrigues, S. Plaksin, R. Mendes, J. Campos, J. Baranda, J. Góis

40

## 2. Experimental Setups / Configurations Examples

#### 2.7. Shock Input Calibration Tests



41

## 3. Main Conclusions

- Non-ideal behaviour at sub-millimeter scales
- Classical Models not applicable
- Non-Steady State Detonation Propagation
- Perturbations of Detonation Velocity
- Detonation Propagates Non-Continuously (local reaction domains/cells and "hotspots")
- Evidence of Significcant Perturbations in Reaction Intensity and Induced Pressure Fields
- Detonation Front Roughness
- Non-uniform Acceleration of Liners
- Roughness Inprint on Witness Plates
- Implications for practical applications (explosive welding, shock compaction/sintering, shaped-charges, etc...)